Surgery versus prolonged conservative treatment for sciatica associated with lumbar disc herniation
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Abstract

Background and objective: There is little information available on comparing conservative versus immediate surgery results in the long run for patients with lumbar disc herniation in the modern clinical practice. The aim of this study was to assess one-year outcomes of patients with sciatica resulting from a lumbar disc herniation treated surgically versus non-surgically in two hospitals in Erbil.

Methods: A prospective comparative cohort study was conducted over two years (January 2010 to February 2012). A sample 100 patients, 50 treated surgically and 50 conservatively, were followed up for one year. All participants had baseline interviews with follow-up questionnaires filled in the next specially designed follow-up visits along the study time at regular intervals of 2, 8, 28 and 52 weeks. The outcome included patient-reported symptoms of leg and back pain, functional status, satisfaction versus expectation and working capacity after treatment or overall disability degree.

Results: Change in the modified Roland back-specific functional status scale favored surgical treatment initially, and the relative benefit decreased but persisted over the follow-up period. Despite these differences, work and disability status at one year were comparable among those treated surgically or non-surgically.

Conclusion: Surgically treated patients with a herniated lumbar disc had more complete relief of leg pain and improved function and satisfaction compared with non-surgically treated patients over one year. Nevertheless, improvement in the patient's predominant symptom and work and disability outcomes were closely similar regardless of treatment received.
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Introduction

Sciatica is characterized by radiating pain in an area of the gluteal region and leg caused by compression or irritation of one (or more) nerve root in the lumbar or sacral spine. It is sometimes also associated with sensory and motor deficits. Sciatica resulting from a lumbar intervertebral disc herniation is the most common cause of radicular leg pain in adult working populations. Such patients have a favorable natural history associated with resorption of extruded disc material, but surgical treatment is frequently considered and performed in those with persistent or severe symptoms. The possibility that a patient with an intervertebral disc herniation will undergo surgery varies among healthcare providers due to their different opinions about the relative benefits of surgical and nonsurgical treatment. It also depends on the will of the patient to undergo surgery and how much the patient can tolerate the sciatica pain while on conservative treatment. In general, Iraqi patients prefer conservative treatment rather than surgical. This has several reasons like the relative young
Methods

Between January 2010 and February 2012, we did a prospective comparative study of 100 patients with 6 to 12 weeks of severe sciatica associated with lumbar disc herniation (L4-L5) to determine whether a strategy of early surgery leads to better outcomes during the first year than does a strategy of conservative treatment for 6 months followed by surgery for patients who do not have improvement from disabling sciatica. All the patients were assessed using the Roland Disability Questionnaire for Sciatica and the 100-mm visual-analogue scale for back and leg pain. Functional disability and intensity of back and leg pain were assessed at 2, 8, 28, and 52 weeks. The Roland-Morris disability scale (RMS) for disability secondary to low back pain is a validated and popular instrument in clinical practice and research. This list contains sentences that people have used to describe themselves when they have back pain. When a patient reads them, he/she may find that some stand out because they describe the patient’s status at the time he filled the questionnaire. The score of the RDQ is the total number of items checked — i.e. from a minimum of 0 to a maximum of 24. The patients were aged 18 to 65 years, had a radiological confirmation of disk herniation with lumbar radicular symptoms and signs for 6 to 12 weeks. The diagnosis was based on MRI as the gold standard in the literature. We depended on the neurosurgeons and orthopedic surgeons participated in this study for the interpretation of the images to exclude variations of radiologist expressions and interpretation of the images and eliminate radiologists interpersonal bias. Exclusion criteria are Cauda Equina Syndrome, muscle paralysis, grade 3 or less muscle weakness, previous spine surgery, spondylolisthesis, pregnancy and severe coexisting disease. From those 100 patients, 50 patients were treated by early surgery and 50 patients were treated by
conservative treatment. No ethical issue was encountered in this study as all the treatment options were provided according to the most current protocols in the treatment of sciatica. A proper consent was signed by all the patients participated in this study explaining the study objectives and their rights.

Results

Of the 50 patients who were treated with conservative treatment, 6 underwent surgery during the first year after a median period of 13 weeks because of intractable pain. In the early-surgery group, two patients had recurrent sciatica leading to a second surgical intervention, as compared with none recurrence in the conservative-treatment group who underwent surgery. Complications occurred in 3 patients of the surgical group; consisting of one dural tear, one wound hematoma and one superficial wound infection. All complications resolved with conservative treatment. None of the patients had neurologic signs after surgery. After surgery, leg and concomitant back pain diminished quickly, whereas a slower and linear recovery from pain were noted in the group receiving prolonged conservative treatment. After one year, the scores on the Roland Disability Questionnaire and the visual-analogue scale for leg pain had nearly equal recovery rates in the two groups. These results are shown in Table 1 and Figures 1, 2 and 3. Relief from leg pain occurred faster in the early-surgery group, but the maximum differences between the groups in the mean scores on the visual-analogue scale for leg pain were less than 20 mm on a 100 mm scale, and at one year the scores were nearly equal (Figure 3).

Table 1: Variables at times of follow-up, 2, 8, 28 and 52 weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>2 Weeks</th>
<th>8 Weeks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early Surgery</td>
<td>Conservative Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roland Disability Questionnaire score</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS score for leg pain</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS score for back pain</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS score for leg pain</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS score for back pain</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roland Disability Questionnaire score</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS score for leg pain</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS score for back pain</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Roland Disability Questionnaire Score for surgical (orange line) versus non-surgical patients (yellow line)

Figure 2: (100-mm Visual-Analogue Scale) VAS Score for leg pain in surgical (blue line) versus non-surgical (red line) patients

Figure 3: 100-mm Visual-Analogue Scale (VAS) Score for back pain in surgical (blue line) versus non-surgical (red line) patients.
At one year of follow-up, the difference between the groups in the mean scores for any outcome measurement was small, including leg pain. Thus, the major advantage of early surgical treatment is faster relief of sciatica. The scores on the Roland Disability Questionnaire did not reach the minimal clinically important difference of 4 points required to conclude that early surgery results in clinically superior outcomes. Weber compared surgery with conservative care in a randomized clinical trial, which excluded patients with “intolerable” pain, found the outcome of surgery was superior at one year follow-up, whereas after four years the results of surgery and conservative treatment no longer differed. Peul showed that the 1-year outcomes were similar for patients assigned to early surgery and those assigned to conservative treatment, but the rates of pain relief and perceived recovery were faster for those assigned to early surgery. Peul showed that the 1-year outcomes were similar for patients assigned to early surgery and those assigned to conservative treatment, but the rates of pain relief and perceived recovery were faster for those assigned to early surgery. Lequin concluded that after five years of follow-up, there were still no differences in pain and disability between the patients randomized for early surgery or prolonged conservative care. Rehabilitation of the patients at the hospital and home was supervised by physiotherapists using a standardized exercise protocol. The patients were advised to resume their regular jobs when they were able, depending on the nature of their work. Treatment was aimed mainly at enabling the patients to resume daily activities. If necessary, the prescription of pain medication was adjusted according to existing clinical situation.

### Surgical treatment indications

No predictive factors have been identified that can determine which patients are likely to improve on their own and which would be better served with surgery. Surgical indications in patients with a radiographically identified herniated disc that correlates with findings on the history and physical exam:

1. **Failure of non-surgical management to control pain after 5-8 weeks**: Most clinicians advocate waiting somewhere between 5-8 weeks from the onset of radiculopathy before considering surgery (assuming none of the items listed below applies)
2. **“EMERGENT SURGERY”:** (i.e. before the 5-8 weeks have lapsed). Indications: Cauda equina syndrome (CES): Progressive motor deficit (e.g. foot drop). NB: paresis of unknown duration is a doubtful indication for surgery. However, the acute development or progression of motor weakness is considered an indication for rapid surgical decompression “Urgent” surgery may be indicated for patients whose pain remains intolerable in spite of adequate narcotic pain medication
3. **Patients who do not want to invest the time in a trial of non-surgical treatment if it is possible that they will still require surgery at the end of the trial.**

### Surgical technique

The symptomatic disk herniation was removed by a minimally invasive approach with the patient under general anesthesia. The goal of surgery was to decompress the nerve root and reduce the risk of recurrent disk herniation by performing an annular fenestration, curettage, and removal of loose degenerated disk material from the disk space with the use of a Rongeur, without attempting to perform a subtotal Discectomy (Figure 5).
Figure 4 highlights the key steps used in the operation. After hemostasis is achieved, and adequate, counter tension-free traction is achieved with a nerve root retractor, a No. 11 blade can be used to incise the posterior longitudinal ligament carefully. The incision is made in a medial to lateral direction to direct the sharp end of the blade away from the dura. A pituitary rongeur was used to remove the disk material. A down-going Epstein curet or right-angled William’s instrument can be used to push down paracentral disk material into the now decompressed disk space. Demonstration taken from Jandial, Rahul; McCormick, Paul; Black, Peter M (2011-03-29). Core Techniques in Operative Neurosurgery: Expert Consult - Online (Kindle Locations 11164-11168). Elsevier Health Sciences. Kindle Edition.

Conclusion

In the present study, we conclude that early surgery for sciatica provides rapid relief of leg pain but with close differences in the clinical outcomes after one year in comparison with conservative treatment. However, early surgery is still a valid treatment option for a well-informed patient with sciatica.
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